

#### Primordial Nucleosynthesis -The Origin of the Lightest Elements

#### **Marcel Krause**

Institute for Theoretical Physics (ITP), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)

Institute for Theoretical Physics

May 13, 2019

- The Big Bang Theory in a Nutshell
- Primordial Nucleosynthesis Overview
- Primordial Nucleosynthesis Processes
- Measurements of the Abundance of the Lightest Elements
- Results of the Measurements





**geometrization** of gravity:



**geometrization** of gravity:

Einstein Field Equations

$$\underbrace{R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}}_{G_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{\mu\nu}$$

- $R_{\mu\nu}$ : Ricci tensor (curvature of space-time)
- R : Ricci scalar (curvature of space-time)
- $g_{\mu\nu}$  : metric tensor (metric of space-time)
- $G_{\mu\nu}$ : Einstein tensor (manifold)
- $T_{\mu\nu}$  : energy-momentum tensor ("source" of the curvature of space-time)
- $\Lambda$  : cosmological constant (vacuum state  $\rightarrow$  dark energy)
- G : gravitational constant
- c : speed of light

3



important parameters for an expanding universe:

#### scale factor

$$a \equiv a(t) \equiv \frac{d(t)}{d_0} \longleftarrow$$

proper (physical) distance co-moving (reference) distance

(per definition, today we have a = 1)



important parameters for an expanding universe:

scale factor

$$a \equiv a(t) \equiv \frac{d(t)}{d_0} \longleftarrow$$

proper (physical) distance co-moving (reference) distance

(per definition, today we have a = 1)

Hubble parameter

$$H \equiv H(t) \equiv \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)}$$
 today:  $H_0 \approx [67, 74] \frac{\mathrm{km}}{\mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{Mpc}}$ 



important parameters for an expanding universe:

scale factor

$$a \equiv a(t) \equiv \frac{d(t)}{d_0}$$

proper (physical) distance co-moving (reference) distance

(per definition, today we have a = 1)

#### Hubble parameter

$$H \equiv H(t) \equiv \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)}$$

normalized spatial curvature parameter

$$\frac{k}{a^2} \equiv \begin{cases} +1, & \text{closed 3-sphere} \\ 0, & \text{flat universe} \\ -1, & \text{open 3-hyperboloid} \end{cases}$$

today: 
$$H_0 \approx [67, 74] \frac{\text{km}}{\text{s} \cdot \text{Mpc}}$$





• critical density for  $\Lambda = k = 0$ :

$$\rho_c = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G} \qquad ($$

(today roughly 5 hydrogen atoms per m<sup>3</sup>)



• critical density for  $\Lambda = k = 0$ :

 $\rho_c = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G}$ 

(today roughly 5 hydrogen atoms per m<sup>3</sup>)

evolution of the universe:

Parametrized Friedmann Lemaître Equations

$$\frac{H^2}{H_0^2} = \Omega_R a^{-4} + \Omega_M a^{-3} + \Omega_k a^{-2} + \Omega_\Lambda$$

Ω<sub>i</sub>: energy densities of radiation (R), matter (M), curvature (k) and the vacuum (Λ)



critical density for  $\Lambda = k = 0$ :

 $\rho_c = \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G}$  (today roughly 5 hydrogen atoms per m<sup>3</sup>)

evolution of the universe:

Parametrized Friedmann Lemaître Equations

$$\frac{H^2}{H_0^2} = \Omega_R a^{-4} + \Omega_M a^{-3} + \Omega_k a^{-2} + \Omega_\Lambda$$

 $\Omega_i$ : energy densities of radiation (R), matter (M), curvature (k) and the vacuum ( $\Lambda$ )

primordial nucleosynthesis!

9



also known as Big Bang nucleosynthesis (BBN)



- also known as Big Bang nucleosynthesis (BBN)
- explains the creation of the lightest elements (H, d, <sup>3</sup>He, <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>7</sup>Li) directly after the Big Bang
- **temperature** of the universe: between 10<sup>11</sup> K and 10<sup>9</sup> K



- also known as Big Bang nucleosynthesis (BBN)
- explains the creation of the lightest elements (H, d, <sup>3</sup>He, <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>7</sup>Li) directly after the Big Bang
- **temperature** of the universe: between 10<sup>11</sup> K and 10<sup>9</sup> K
- age of the universe: between 0.01 s and 3 min after the Big Bang
   famous "first three minutes" of the universe (cf. Weinberg etc.)



May 13, 2019

M. Krause: Primordial Nucleosynthesis - The Origin of the Lightest Elements



- BBN is strongly supported by the Big Bang theory
- explanation of the origin of the lightest elements



#### origin of the **lightest elements** (H, d, <sup>3</sup>He, <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>7</sup>Li)





#### origin of the lightest elements (H, d, <sup>3</sup>He, <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>7</sup>Li)





- BBN is strongly supported by the Big Bang theory
- explanation of the origin of the lightest elements
- verifiable through experimental observations

18

# Primordial Nucleosynthesis - Motivations

- BBN is strongly supported by the Big Bang theory
- explanation of the origin of the lightest elements
- verifiable through experimental observations
- determination of the baryonic energy density
- determination of the ratio of baryons and photons

Ratio of Baryons and Photons

$$\eta \equiv \frac{n_{\rm baryon}}{n_{\rm photon}} \approx \mathcal{O}(10^{-10})$$





Stage 0: Quark Gluon Plasma

$$t = 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{s}$$
,  $T = 10^{12} \,\mathrm{K}$ ,  $E = 100 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

quasi-free quarks and gluons (free of confinement)

- equilibrium state
- terminates in hadronization



formation of protons and neutrons

Stage I: Thermodynamic Equilibrium

$$t = 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{s}$$
,  $T = 10^{11} \,\mathrm{K}$ ,  $E = 10 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

**dominant particles:**  $p, n, \nu, e^{\pm}$ 

equilibrium state between protons and neutrons

continuous transformation via the weak interaction

$$p + e^{-} \leftrightarrow n + \nu_{e}$$

$$p + \bar{\nu}_{e} \leftrightarrow n + e^{+}$$

$$n \leftrightarrow p + e^{-} + \bar{\nu}_{e}$$



20

Stage I: Thermodynamic Equilibrium

$$t = 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{s}$$
,  $T = 10^{11} \,\mathrm{K}$ ,  $E = 10 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

•  $m_n > m_p$   $\implies$  difference in rest energy  $\Delta mc^2 \approx 1.293 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

21

Stage I: Thermodynamic Equilibrium

$$t = 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{s}$$
,  $T = 10^{11} \,\mathrm{K}$ ,  $E = 10 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

•  $m_n > m_p$  difference in rest energy  $\Delta mc^2 \approx 1.293 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

proton and neutron are two states of one isospin doublet

Boltzmann Distribution in Thermal Equilibrium

$$\frac{n}{p} = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta mc^2}{k_B T}\right) \approx \exp\left(-\frac{1.293 \,\mathrm{MeV}}{k_B T}\right)$$

*k*<sub>B</sub>: Boltzmann constant

#### connection between neutron-proton ratio and statistical physics

May 13, 2019

Stage I: Thermodynamic Equilibrium

$$t = 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{s}$$
,  $T = 10^{11} \,\mathrm{K}$ ,  $E = 10 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

**reaction rate** of the weak processes:

$$\Gamma(p + e^- \leftrightarrow \nu_e + n) \approx G_F^2 T^5$$

 $(G_F: Fermi constant)$ 

Stage I: Thermodynamic Equilibrium

$$t = 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{s}$$
,  $T = 10^{11} \,\mathrm{K}$ ,  $E = 10 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

reaction rate of the weak processes:

$$\Gamma(p + e^- \leftrightarrow \nu_e + n) \approx G_F^2 T^5$$

 $(G_F: Fermi constant)$ 

**expansion rate** of the universe:

 $H \propto T^2$ 

Stage I: Thermodynamic Equilibrium

$$t = 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{s}$$
,  $T = 10^{11} \,\mathrm{K}$ ,  $E = 10 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

reaction rate of the weak processes:

$$\Gamma(p + e^- \leftrightarrow \nu_e + n) \approx G_F^2 T^5$$

 $(G_F: Fermi constant)$ 

expansion rate of the universe:

 $H \propto T^2$ 

**ratio** of reaction and expansion rates:

$$\frac{\Gamma}{H} \approx \left(\frac{T}{0.8 \,\mathrm{MeV}}\right)^3$$



weak processes freeze out at roughly  $T \approx 0.8 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

neutron-proton ratio stabilizes and the equilibrium is broken

25

M. Krause: Primordial Nucleosynthesis - The Origin of the Lightest Elements

**Stage II: Freeze-Out of the Weak Processes** 

$$t = 1 \,\mathrm{s}$$
,  $T = 10^{10} \,\mathrm{K}$ ,  $E = 1 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

thermodynamic equilibrium between neutrons and protons breaks

$$\begin{array}{rcl} p + e^{-} & \nleftrightarrow & n + \nu_{e} \\ p + \bar{\nu}_{e} & \nleftrightarrow & n + e^{+} \\ & n & \rightarrow & p + e^{-} + \bar{\nu}_{e} \end{array} & \mbox{decay of free neutrons} \end{array}$$

Neutron-Proton Ratio at the Freeze-Out Temperature

$$\frac{n}{p} = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta mc^2}{k_BT}\right) \approx \frac{1}{6}$$

May 13, 2019

**Stage III: Beta Decay of the Free Neutrons** 

 $t < 1 \min$ ,  $T = 10^{10} \,\mathrm{K} - 10^9 \,\mathrm{K}$ ,  $E = 1 \,\mathrm{MeV} - 0.1 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

**mean lifetime of free neutrons:**  $\tau \approx 14.7 \min$ 

Decay Process of Free Neutrons

$$n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$$



**Stage III: Beta Decay of the Free Neutrons** 

 $t < 1 \min$ ,  $T = 10^{10} \,\mathrm{K} - 10^9 \,\mathrm{K}$ ,  $E = 1 \,\mathrm{MeV} - 0.1 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

**mean lifetime of free neutrons:**  $\tau \approx 14.7 \min$ 

Decay Process of Free Neutrons

$$n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$$

no light elements are produced yet

why?





deuterium (d) is the next-to-lightest isotope to be produce



May 13, 2019



- deuterium (d) is the next-to-lightest isotope to be produce
- **binding energy** of deuterium:  $E_b \approx 2.2 \,\mathrm{MeV}$
- ratio of photons with the same energy at  $T \approx 0.1 \,\mathrm{MeV}$  (high-end tail):

$$\frac{n_{\gamma}(E \ge E_b)}{n_{\gamma,\text{tot}}} = \exp\left(-\frac{E_b(d)}{k_B T}\right) \approx 10^{-10}$$

# $\frac{n_{\gamma}(E \ge E_b)}{n_{\gamma,\text{tot}}} = \exp\left(-\frac{E_b(d)}{k_B T}\right) \approx 10^{-10}$

remember: baryon-to-photon ratio is  $\eta \approx 10^{-10}$ 



31

#### ITP, KIT

#### Primordial Nucleosynthesis – Four Stages

- deuterium (d) is the next-to-lightest isotope to be produce
- **binding energy** of deuterium:  $E_b \approx 2.2 \,\mathrm{MeV}$
- ratio of photons with the same energy at  $T \approx 0.1 \,\mathrm{MeV}$  (high-end tail):

for each produced deuteron, one high-energy photon is present

thermal equilibrium between deuteron production and spallation

neither stable deuterium nor the other light elements can be produced yet

stable deuterium is needed for other elements: "deuterium bottleneck"





**Stage IV: Primordial Nucleosynthesis** 

 $t \le 3 \min$ ,  $T = 10^9 \,\mathrm{K}$ ,  $E = 0.1 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ 

free neutrons continue to decay:

$$n \rightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$$

Neutron-Proton Ratio at the Beginning of BBN

$$\frac{n}{p} \approx \frac{1}{7}$$







0.9

0.8

#### **Primordial Nucleosynthesis – Processes**





**Production of Deuterons** 

$$n+p \rightarrow d+\gamma$$

#### **Primordial Nucleosynthesis – Processes**





**Production of Deuterons** 

$$n+p \rightarrow d+\gamma$$



$$n+d \rightarrow t+\gamma$$

#### **Primordial Nucleosynthesis – Processes**





**Production of Deuterons** 

$$n+p \rightarrow d+\gamma$$





$$n+d \rightarrow t+\gamma$$

Production of Helium Isotopes

$$d + d \iff {}^{3}\mathrm{He} + n$$
  
$$d + p \iff {}^{3}\mathrm{He} + \gamma$$
  
$$t + d \iff {}^{4}\mathrm{He} + n$$



36












M. Krause: Primordial Nucleosynthesis - The Origin of the Lightest Elements







all dominant processes terminate in <sup>4</sup>He

• second most common atom in the universe



**all dominant** processes terminate in <sup>4</sup>He

**second most common atom** in the universe

• we had 
$$rac{n}{p}pproxrac{1}{7}$$

Estimate of the Helium Abundance After the BBN

$$Y \equiv \frac{2\frac{n}{p}}{\frac{n}{p}+1} \approx 0.25$$



**all dominant** processes terminate in <sup>4</sup>He

second most common atom in the universe

• we had 
$$\frac{n}{p} \approx \frac{1}{7}$$
  
Estimate of the Helium Abundance After the BBN  $Y \equiv \frac{2\frac{n}{p}}{\frac{n}{p}+1} \approx 0.25$ 

approximate abundance of the two lightest stable elements:

#### 75% H, 25% <sup>4</sup>He



what about heavier elements?

May 13, 2019



what about heavier elements?

#### production very unlikely:

- no stable elements with mass numbers 5 or 8
- Coulomb wall increases drastically with increasing mass number







47

M. Krause: Primordial Nucleosynthesis - The Origin of the Lightest Elements





48

M. Krause: Primordial Nucleosynthesis - The Origin of the Lightest Elements



prediction of abundance of all light elements during/after the BBN







































- confirms the **Big Bang theory**
- determines  $\eta$  and  $\Omega_B$
- indicates consistency of the BBN over ten orders of magnitude
- measurements yield the horizontals in the plots
- point(s) of intersection indicate the measured value of  $\eta$



stellar nucleosynthesis: amount of <sup>4</sup>He increases compared to the abundance after the BBN



entanglement of primordial and stellar <sup>4</sup>He production





stellar nucleosynthesis: amount of <sup>4</sup>He increases compared to the abundance after the BBN



entanglement of primordial and stellar <sup>4</sup>He production

I idea: search for stars with very low metallicity

Definition of Metallicity metallicity  $\equiv \frac{O}{H} \equiv \frac{\text{amount of oxygen}}{\text{amount of hydrogen}}$ 



prime candidate: "Blue Compact Dwarf Galaxies"

(low metallicity, gas-rich, H-II region)



stellar nucleosynthesis: amount of <sup>4</sup>He increases compared to the abundance after the BBN



entanglement of primordial and stellar <sup>4</sup>He production

I idea: search for stars with very low metallicity

Definition of Metallicity

metallicity 
$$\equiv \frac{O}{H} \equiv \frac{\text{amount of oxygen}}{\text{amount of hydrogen}}$$

prime candidate: "Blue Compact Dwarf Galaxies" (low metallicity, gas-rich, H-II region)

spectral analysis of the H-II regions reveals the approximate primordial amount of <sup>4</sup>He







**relative amount** Y of <sup>4</sup>He is **higher** in stars with higher metallicity



- relative amount Y of <sup>4</sup>He is higher in stars with higher metallicity
- measure the He-I recombination line at  $\lambda = 587.6 \,\mathrm{nm}$
- spectral analysis yields Y over metallicity O/H





- relative amount Y of <sup>4</sup>He is **higher** in stars with higher metallicity
- measure the He-I recombination line at  $\lambda = 587.6 \,\mathrm{nm}$
- spectral analysis yields Y over metallicity O/H



primordial amount of <sup>4</sup>He







- theoretical estimate:  $Y_{
  m theor} \approx 0.25$
- experimental value (PDG):
  - $Y_{\rm exp} \approx 0.245 \pm 0.003$





#### **no significant** deuterium **production** after the BBN





**no significant** deuterium **production** after the BBN



deuterium is converted in stellar nucleosynthesis processes:

$$p + d \rightarrow {}^{3}\mathrm{He} + \gamma$$



**no significant** deuterium **production** after the BBN

BBN is the main source of deuterium

deuterium is converted in stellar nucleosynthesis processes:

$$p + d \rightarrow {}^{3}\mathrm{He} + \gamma$$





**no significant** deuterium **production** after the BBN

BBN is the main source of deuterium

deuterium is converted in stellar nucleosynthesis processes:

$$p + d \rightarrow {}^{3}\mathrm{He} + \gamma$$





measure the primordial d abundance through Lyman-α absorption lines

prime candidates: Quasar Absorption Systems (QAS)



measure the primordial d abundance through
 Lyman-α absorption lines
 prime candidates: Quasar Absorption Systems (QAS)

radiation emitted from quasars travels through interstellar clouds

increasing redshift z with increasing distance from the quasar:

 $\lambda_{\text{Ly-}\alpha} \approx 121.5 \,(1+z) \,\text{nm}$ 



measure the primordial d abundance through
 Lyman-α absorption lines
 prime candidates: Quasar Absorption Systems (QAS)

radiation emitted from quasars travels through interstellar clouds

increasing redshift z with increasing distance from the quasar:

 $\lambda_{\text{Ly-}\alpha} \approx 121.5 \,(1+z) \,\text{nm}$ 

the spectrum shows different Ly-α lines due to different redshifts z

the redshift is necessary since optical instruments are not sensitive enough in the UV regime







M. Krause: Primordial Nucleosynthesis – The Origin of the Lightest Elements




















76

M. Krause: Primordial Nucleosynthesis - The Origin of the Lightest Elements

consider a **single** absorption line





- consider a single absorption line
- split the flux into the normalized flux of d and H
- ratio yields the relative abundance of d compared to H







- consider a **single** absorption line
- split the flux into the normalized flux of d and H
- ratio yields the relative abundance of d compared to H

#### caveats:

- due to the dominance of H, the difference in intensities is huge
- the flux at the absorption line of H is practically vanishing







- consider a **single** absorption line
- split the flux into the normalized flux of d and H
- ratio yields the relative abundance of d compared to H

#### caveats:

- due to the dominance of H, the difference in intensities is huge
- the flux at the absorption line of H is practically vanishing
- consider a lot of different Ly-α lines from **different sources**



M. Krause: Primordial Nucleosynthesis - The Origin of the Lightest Elements



#### consider a lot of different Ly-α lines from different sources





#### consider a lot of different Ly-α lines from different sources



- the Ly- $\alpha$  lines for d and H are close to each other:  $\Delta \lambda_{Ly-\alpha} \approx 34 \, pm$
- Doppler shift of the Ly-α lines through rotation of the clouds reduces the resolution of the Ly-α forest
- quasars are required to be at a suitable distance in order to avoid overlaps of red-shifted Ly-α lines from d and H
- many quasars yield results with huge standard deviations





#### many quasars yield results with huge standard deviations

only **10** measurements are suitable for the current best-fit analysis



 $\frac{d}{\mathrm{H}}\big|_{\mathrm{exp}} \approx (2.569 \pm 0.027) \cdot 10^{-5}$ 

### Measurements of <sup>3</sup>He



<sup>3</sup>He is constantly produced and converted in stellar nucleosynthesis processes

## Measurements of <sup>3</sup>He



- <sup>3</sup>He is constantly produced and converted in stellar nucleosynthesis processes
- the only available measurements of the <sup>3</sup>He abundance stem from
  - regions within the solar system
  - regions with very high metallicity



not suitable for determining the primordial abundance of <sup>3</sup>He

## Measurements of <sup>3</sup>He



- <sup>3</sup>He is constantly produced and converted in stellar nucleosynthesis processes
- the only available measurements of the <sup>3</sup>He abundance stem from
  - regions within the solar system
  - regions with very high metallicity



not suitable for determining the primordial abundance of <sup>3</sup>He

#### distinguishing <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>4</sup>He is technically very difficult



abundance of <sup>3</sup>He is **not considered a suitable observable** for the analysis of the BBN



most suitable objects for measuring <sup>7</sup>Li:

population II stars (very old, low metallicity, thin convection layer)



most suitable objects for measuring <sup>7</sup>Li: population II stars (very old, low metallicity, thin convection layer)

#### spectroscopy of the stellar atmosphere:

<sup>7</sup>Li resonance doublets at  $\lambda \approx 670.7 \,\mathrm{nm}$ 

observation: "linear" dependence between <sup>7</sup>Li and Fe abundance



extrapolation yields the primordial <sup>7</sup>Li abundance











#### **Caveat** for the measurements: <sup>7</sup>Li is converted for $T \ge 2.5 \cdot 10^6 \,\mathrm{K}$

Lithium Burning		
$^{1}\mathrm{H}+^{7}\mathrm{Li}$ –	→ <sup>8</sup> Be	
<sup>8</sup> Be -	$\rightarrow$ <sup>4</sup> He + <sup>4</sup> ]	He



expected:

weak

convection

6000

#### **caveat** for the measurements: <sup>7</sup>Li is converted for $T \ge 2.5 \cdot 10^6$ K





#### **Caveat** for the measurements: <sup>7</sup>Li is converted for $T \ge 2.5 \cdot 10^6 \,\mathrm{K}$



conversion dominantly happens in the convection layer of the star

consider population II stars with weak surface convection







experimental value (PDG):

 $\frac{{}^{7}\mathrm{Li}}{\mathrm{H}}\Big|_{\mathrm{exp}} \approx (1.6 \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^{-10}$ 

- uncertainties in the measurements are very high
- experimental results are at odds with predictions from BBN

"cosmological lithium problem"



the cosmological lithium problem is unsolved as of today

May 13, 2019



- the cosmological lithium problem is unsolved as of today
- possible explanations for the "lithium gap" within the Standard Model (SM) are inconclusive [A. Coc et al JCAP10(2014)050, arXiv:1403.6694 (astro-ph.CO)]



portal for beyond the SM (BSM) physics



- the cosmological lithium problem is unsolved as of today
- possible explanations for the "lithium gap" within the Standard Model (SM) are inconclusive [A. Coc et al JCAP10(2014)050, arXiv:1403.6694 (astro-ph.CO)]



- portal for beyond the SM (BSM) physics
- BSM explanations for the lithium gap are also inconclusive

[C. A. Bertulani et al EPJ Web of Conferences 184, 01002 (2018), arXiv:1802.03469 (nulc-th)]



remains an open problem to be solved

## **Combination of the Results**





- experimental best fit (PDG):  $5.8 \cdot 10^{-10} \le \eta_{exp} \le 6.6 \cdot 10^{-10}$ (95% CL)
- with  $\eta$ , also the **baryonic energy** density  $\Omega_B$  is determined



## Determination of $\Omega_B$ – Method 1 via BBN



#### **photon density** at $T = 2.725 \,\mathrm{K}$ from **black body radiation**:

 $\rho_{\gamma} \approx 4.11 \cdot 10^8 \, m^{-3}$ 

## Determination of $\Omega_B$ – Method 1 via BBN



#### **photon density** at $T = 2.725 \,\mathrm{K}$ from **black body radiation**:

 $\rho_{\gamma} \approx 4.11 \cdot 10^8 \, m^{-3}$ 

the baryonic energy density can now be calculated:

$$\Omega_B h^2 = \frac{m_p c^2 \eta_{\exp} \rho_{\gamma} h^2}{\rho_c} \approx 0.02 \qquad \qquad \text{reduced Hubble constant:} \\ h \equiv \frac{H_0}{100 \,\text{km s}^{-1} \,\text{Mpc}^{-1}}$$

## Determination of $\Omega_B$ – Method 1 via BBN



**photon density** at  $T = 2.725 \,\mathrm{K}$  from **black body radiation**:

 $\rho_{\gamma} \approx 4.11 \cdot 10^8 \, m^{-3}$ 

the baryonic energy density can now be calculated:

 $\Omega_B h^2 = \frac{m_p c^2 \eta_{\exp} \rho_{\gamma} h^2}{\rho_c} \approx 0.02 \qquad \qquad \text{reduced Hubble constant:} \\ h \equiv \frac{H_0}{100 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}}$ 

**current best-fit value** (PDG) at 95% CL:

 $0.021 \le \Omega_B h^2 \le 0.0245$ 



baryons account for roughly **4% to 5%** of the **total energy density** of the universe

## Determination of $\Omega_B$ – Method 2 via CMB



measurements of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) through Planck 2015 data directly yield:

 $\Omega_B h^2 = 0.0223 \pm 0.0002$ 

## Determination of $\Omega_B$ – Method 2 via CMB



measurements of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) through Planck 2015 data directly yield:

 $\Omega_B h^2 = 0.0223 \pm 0.0002$ 

from this, the **baryon-photon ratio** is calculated:

 $\eta_{\rm CMB} = (6.09 \pm 0.06) \cdot 10^{-10}$ 



the CMB yields an **independent cross-check** of the results gained by analyzing the BBN



good agreement between the BBN and the CMB results

## **Combination of the Results**





- experimental value through BBN:  $0.021 \le \Omega_B h^2 \le 0.0245$
- experimental value through CMB:  $\Omega_B h^2 = 0.0223 \pm 0.0002$ 
  - BBN and CMB results



## **Hints of Dark Matter**





## **Hints of Dark Matter**





on the other hand, measuring the full matter density yields:

 $\Omega_M h^2 \approx 0.14$ 



most of the matter content of the universe is non-baryonic "dark" matter

## **Hints of Dark Matter**





## Conclusion



- the BBN describes the first three minutes of the universe
- the relative abundance of the lightest elements is not arbitrary but can be calculated
- theoretical predictions gained by the BBN are consistent over ten orders of magnitude
- through spectral observations, the baryonic matter density can be measured in the framework of the BBN
- independent measurements through the CMB provide a cross-check of the results gained by the BBN → excellent agreement
- the measured baryonic matter density is a hint towards **dark matter**
- open questions with respect to the cosmological lithium problem (portal to BSM physics?)



# **Thanks!**

May 13, 2019

M. Krause: Primordial Nucleosynthesis - The Origin of the Lightest Elements
## **Figure References**



- https://cdn-images-1.medium.com/max/1000/1\*0Rh6Fxy\_B4rHxdjpblwFFw.jpeg
- https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/9/98/End\_of\_universe.jpg
- https://web.njit.edu/~gary/202/assets/fig2202.jpg
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Big\_Bang\_nucleosynthesis#/media/File:Nucleosynthesis\_periodic\_table.svg
- https://simple.wikipedia.org/wiki/lsotope#/media/File:Blausen\_0530\_HydrogenIsotopes.png
- https://www.researchgate.net/figure/The-n-p-ratio-as-a-function-of-comoving-temperature-parameter-Tcm-in-the-third-scenario\_fig3\_305186106
- https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kernfusion#/media/File:Deuterium-tritium\_fusion.svg
- https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Atomic-particles-can-overcome-the-Coulomb-barrier-electrostaticrepulsion-at-much\_fig1\_282394950
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stellar\_nucleosynthesis#/media/File:FusionintheSun.svg
- https://wmap.gsfc.nasa.gov/media/121236/121236\_NewPieCharts720.png
- https://i0.wp.com/thecuriousjalebi.com/wpcontent/uploads/2018/10/5dc15a58073122dfbb1db83934e49fdc.jpg?ssl=1

## **Back-up slides**

